

Current status

5.5 .... The term of office for the President, Vice Presidents and the ACCO representative starts on **1<sup>st</sup> January in the year immediately following** their election...

*The President of Ddl is proposing...*

5.5 .... The term of office for the President, Vice Presidents and the ACCO representative starts **immediately following** their election...

Council discussed this proposal at its Council meeting  
April 2014 in Copenhagen

- a) Council is judging this proposal as interesting;  
it should be presented and discussed in GA
- b) Council would like to thank Henning Elmström  
for his interesting proposal

Pro

**The new Council members**

- **President,**
- **Vice Presidents**

**can start immediately after their election!**



**Decisions are necessary in the year of the election!**

-> working weeks the next 2 years!



CONs

**1. No preparation time**

**The day after the election the new President (and Vice Presidents) must start working**

**They have no preparation time....  
Like Commission Chairs do have ...  
(2 years ...before 2002 ...even 4 years!)**

CONs

**2. Financial Responsibility**

**The entire Council is responsible for the finances during the Financial year which is coinciding with the calendar year**

**It is totally against any statutes to exchange the majority of a Council during the financial year from 1 day to the next!**

CONs

**3. Vacuum of activities**

**The new President is not ready directly after his election. At the same time the current President has to step out immediately!!!**

- **There is the danger that FIG will be paralyzed for a certain period of time!**
- **Internally there will be no longer a serious handover possible**

CONS

- **No preparation time**
- **Financial Responsibility**
- **Vacuum of activities**

Pro

- No lame duck!
- Decisions for the future can be taken!

1. The CONs are too strong!

Council is proposing....

- a) that the new President is immediately becoming member of the Council in the rank of a VP  
Next steps: - discussion in GA  
- written proposal
- b) that the new P is immediately responsible for the next 2 working

No Decision now!

- a) the new P is becoming member of Council as VP
- b) the new P is responsible for the next 2 ww.

## Letter form GEOSUISSE

### Proposal from GEOSUISSE

GEOSUISSE is proposing that the nomination process is changed in the following sense:

- Not the member associations nominate a candidate any more directly. They can propose candidates to a respective nominating body
- For Vice-presidents a regional body formed by the regional associations will have the right to nominate candidates. This makes it necessary that a candidate is better known and that every region has a representative. The regional bodies have to check if a candidate is eligible and has sufficient support, also in financial terms
- For Commission Chairs Elect, the nomination right should be with the Commissions themselves. They have to evaluate the candidates and to make sure that she/he is able to fulfill the job. The Commissions are the only bodies that have sufficient knowledge of their members and who are able to judge their eligibility. Commissions will as well negotiate with the national association of the candidate they wish to nominate.

	Until now	Swiss proposal
Nomination of candidates for...?	Member associations (MA)	Nomination body, receiving proposals from MAs
Vice Presidents	Member associations (MA)	A regional body which, making sure that each region will be represented in Council
Commission Chairs	Member associations (MA)	With the Commissions