SELECTING OPTIMAL DATA-FITTING MODEL FOR SURVEYING AND GEODETIC APPLICATIONS

BY

Olayemi Joseph ODUMOSU, Fisayo Funmilola IDOWU, and Chika Vincent OKOROCHA,

Nigeria

Presenter: Chika .V. Okorocha

JUNE 2014

Presentation Outline

- > Introduction
- Spatial Interpolation Techniques
- Classification of Interpolation Method
- Surface Model Results
- Result Analysis
- Conclusion

Introduction

- In order to make any meaningful analysis as regards risk assessment, platform stability, terrain modelling and most survey related applications; spatial continuous data are required.
- In both terrestrial and space based method for terrain modelling there is need to determine the appropriate spatial interpolation technique.
- The geodesist is faced with the task of finding the mathematical model that best fits the data-set such as to allow prediction at other points with least error residual.

Spatial interpolation Techniques

Spatial Interpolation techniques can be generally classified into

- i. Non-geostatistical Methods
- ii. Geostatistical Methods (Multivariate or Univariate)
- iii. Combined Method

It is usually difficult to select an appropriate spatial method for a terrain interpolation

for a given dataset .

Several factor such as sample size, sampling design and data properties affect the

estimations of the method

Classification of Interpolation Methods

S/N	INTERPOLATION	CATEGORY	SUB-	
	METHOD		CATEGORY	
1	TIN	Non-Geostatistical	Not Applicable	
2	IDW	Non-Geostatistical	Not Applicable	
3	Radial Basis Function	Non-Geostatistical	Not Applicable	
4	Ordinary Kriging	Geostatistical	Univariate	

RIANGULATED IRREGULAR NETWORK

ses a series of triangles based on a elauney's triangulation to join all sampled oints together (Jin and Andrew, 2008). It reates a surface formed by triangles of earest neighbour points.



NVERSE DISTANCE WEIGHT: This nethod assumes that influence of the ariable entered on the map decrease with ne increase of the distance from its sampling ite. Weights diminish as the distance ncreases, this results in a local spatial nterpolation. Uneven sample point istribution affects the quality of interpolation



RADIAL BASIS FUNCTIONS (RBF): This is closest to the Kriging technique and is a flexible interpolation method. It gives the best overall interpolation of most datasets

ORDINARY KRIGING: Kriging involves interactive investigation of spatial behaviour of data analysed before selecting the best method of assessment for derivation of output area . A major advantage of kriging is that it can extrapolate for values beyond the "z" data range.

ATA USED:

A total of 216 points being part of the Lagos State second-order control network were used in the model formulation. The control points selected being part of the ZTT 14 – 30 Series covering most parts of Lagos State has an even spatial distribution across the state.



XXV INTERNATIONAL FEDERATION OF SURVEYORS CONGRESS, KUALA LUMPUR, MALAYSIA, 16 – 21 JUNE 2014





RESULTS ANALYSIS

S/N	TECHNIQUE	RMSE	STD. ERROR	TIME (Secs)	APPEARANCE	REMARKS
1	TIN	37.8935	0.2523	0.01	Poor	Not Suitable
2	IDW	44.4564	0.0208	0.01	Poor	Not Suitable
3	Kriging	37.5752	0.2416	0.09	Very Good	Good
4	RadialBasisFunction (RBF)	37.6112	0.2459	0.07	Good	Good

INTERNATIONAL FEDERATION OF SURVEYORS CONGRESS, KUALA LUMPUR, MALAYSIA, 16 – 21

CONCLUSION

The Kriging and Radial Basis function are the best interpolation techniques f terrain analysis and modelling.

However, consequent upon the long time it takes to process them, it is advisable that when the available dataset exceeds 1000 points, the TIN or "minimum curvature" should be used as they both produce accuracy close to kriging when a large dataset is available.

THANK YOU

XXV INTERNATIONAL FEDERATION OF SURVEYORS CONGRESS, KUALA LUMPUR, MALAYSIA, 16 – 21 JUNE 2014