Developments in the Standardisation of the Core Cadastral Domain Model



Christiaan Lemmen, Paul vander Molen – Kadaster and ITC, The Netherlands

Peter van Osterom, Jaap Zevenbergen and Wilko Quak – Delft University, The Netherlands

Madison, US, June 2005







Delft University of Technology

















1 03 4597491 093G 4590409 √ 1590293 √ Akintayo 8 12 88 B. 450 A deglamigles Sould ADIL2 23456 234 12/12/88 A260719 A590437 V #5098 A 380A gleus Efinitade 142 678049 7899 Olabou A5477 Adeaboye D te A2604 K. Adetur H.B. A 5540 A 5588 Olditan 15 12 9 0. Mr. AIGIQ 10 A/54-15 19/12/88 Obrunfeni A 5660 V Bot Isyola. 21 12 88 12 12 A 5600 Abimbola 3 A5578 23 12 88 okankir 14 A5608 Alade 15 28/2 ward 29 12 88

















FIG













Agenda



- 1. Introduction
- 2. Cadastral Data
- 3. Generic Cadastral Domain Model
- 4. Conclusions







Standards

- There are supposed to be huge differences between cadastral and land registry systems
- Look to the common area's:
 - Standardised Model
 - Avoid re-inventing the wheel
 - Enable involved parties to communicate







Standards

- Many countries want to computerise their cadastral data sets: modelling is complex
- There are problems in data dissemination in a distributed enviroment which is a condition in case data are maintained by (many) different organisations
- Lack of a shared set of concepts and terminology in the Cadastral Domain







Technology push vs. Market pull

- Geo-ICT developments: Modeling standards, Database technology, Positioning systems, Internet development, Wireless communication
 à Geometry is in mainstream ICT
- User requirements of Cadastral systems change over time, due to: Change in legislation, Governmental policy, New tasks for the organization, New technology
- Therefore, generic and flexible systems needed à Model Driven Architecture based on conceptual models described in UML







Scope and motivation for a standardized Cadastral Domain Model

- Covers both land registry and cadastre
- Main advantages:
 - Avoids re-implementing same functionality again, and provides a basis extensible model driven approach
 - 2. Facilitate cadastral data exchange between incountry organizations and bewteen countries
- Design goals: model should be as simple as possible, transparent and modular







Model independent of organisation, examples of actual registrations



Customer Groups: cadastral data exchange









Some other (related) initiatives

- ArcCadastre (Sweden)
- Intergraph
- Caris
- LandXML used Land*online* of the LINZ (New Zeeland)
- Australian ASDI 'cadastral model'
- COST G9 'modeling real estate transactions' (European research network)







Some other (related) initiatives: Modeling standards ISO in Germany (Seifert 2002)









Some other (related) initiatives: Modeling standards US NILS

 BLM & USDA Forrest service developed National Integrated Land System (NILS)



Based on ArcGIS Parcel Data Manager (von Meyer et al)







Some other (related) initiatives: Modeling standards Sweden/EULIS

Initiative for EULIS (first admin data distr.):

- Landmäteriet, Sweden
- National Land Survey, Finland
- HM Land Registry, (for England, Wales)
- Registers of Scotland
- State Land Cadastre (Lituania)
- Kadaster, the Netherlands
- Ministry of Justice, Austria
- Norsk Eiendominformasion, Norway
- University of Lund, Sweden









Bagdonavicius DATA CONTENTS OF THE Kasperavicius REAL PROPERTY REGISTER Lithuania Legal facts related Immovable items: **Real rights in** to immovable items, - land plots; immovable items and real rights in them - constructions; data about the - flats in multi-flat houses: and restrictions holders of these rights on these rights - premises. ü Ownership right; ü Sale-purchase contract ü Right of entrust; ü Contract on exchange; Cadastral data about Map of the Real ü Right of possession; immovable item **Property Register** ü Servitude; ü Contract of gift; ü Usufruct; ü Contract of lease; ü Right to build-up; ü Long-term lease; ü Contract of enjoyment; ü Lien: **Graphical data about** ü Contract of rent: ü Data identifying a ü Mortgage; property object; the boundaries of a ü Other real rights. ü Other legal facts registered immovable item ü Qualitative and quantitative characteristic its location and position ü First and family name of a of property object; in the national co-ordinate physical person; ü Main objective system ü Personal code; purpose of use; ü Name of a legal ü Other. entity, its code; ü Address:







Agenda

- Introduction
- Cadastral Data
- Generic Cadastral Domain Model
- Conclusions







Basic datamodel

- Parcel 1.
- 2. Apartment
- 3. Building
- Spatial Unit 4.

One Point Lines Polygon (low accuracy) Polygon (high accuracy)

Qualilty labels



- Formal Ownership 1.
- 2. Customary
- 3. Indigenous
- Tenancy 4.
- 5. Starter, landhold,
 - freehold
- Possession 6.
- 7. Mortgage
- 8. Usufruct
- Long Lease 9.
- **Restriction** Type 1 10.
- **Restriction Type 2** 11.
- 12. State
- Informal 13.
- 14. Unknown
- Disagreement 15.
- 16. Occupation
- Uncontrolled 17. privatisation
- **18.** Conflict

Overlap

Natural Person 1.

- 2. Company
- ıb 3. Municipality
 - 4. **Co-operation**
 - 5. Group
 - 6. Ministry

Biometric identification











Delft University of Technology

Cadastral Data

object (parcel, apartment, spatial unit)
right (ownership (...,...), usufruct, mortgage, restriction, informal, unknown, conflict...)
person (natural, non natural, group, group of groups)
identifiers
value
Area (GIS area and legal area)
classification
geographic name
person name
date (birth, establishment, acceptance, transaction, survey, check-in)
ranking order

- source document
- •forms
- •point
- boundary
- •face, edge, node: topology
- •GIS Layers
- •apartment 3d
- land use
- share
- transaction type
- purchase price
- history (check-in, check-out, mother-child, history class)
- •right relation
- mortgage, interest







Cadastral Update Process Data

- Transactions •Customers request (application) •Quality (accuracy, reliability, collection mode) •Name of Conveyor, Surveyor, etc •Signature •Process step •Archive data in use Next open identifier •Type of instrument •Distance in km •Letters to buyer and seller •Car in use, fuel •Date and time •Site •Buyer/seller do not agree Authorisation •Computer availability
- Topological erros
- Production norm
- Time regsitration
- Objection, complaint
- Salary scale
- •Team
- •Teammembers
- •Responsible manager
- •Status code
- •Out of tolerance
- •Line code
- •Point code
- •Transformation parameters
- •Historical data used
- •Cluster identifier
- •IT Support







Agenda

- Introduction
- Cadastral Data
- Generic Cadastral Domain Model
- Conclusions







Proposal (FIG Washington 2002)

- Develop standard Core Cadastral Domain Model, including:
 - Spatial part (geometry, topology)
 - Extensible frame for legal/admin part
 - Based on core object-right-subject model
- Object-orientation à express in UML
- Accepted by large community: FIG, OGC, ISO, user support, this means it can be adapted by the industry
- Maximize co-operation, minimize double effort







Core Cadastral Domain Model - history

- FIG April 2002, Washington, proposal by Lemmen/ van Oosterom: Core Cadastral Domain Model
- Now part of FIG working plan 2002-2006
- Several versions produced: OGC TC Noordwijk, sept'02; FIG WW Paris, apr'03; Digital Earth Brno, sept'03; FIG WW Cairo, apr'05
- 2 FIG workshops: Enschede, mar'03; Bamberg, dec'04
- Involved organizations: FIG, EU COST G9, OGC, ISO
- FIG October 2006, Munich: version 1...







Model basis: Object-Right-Subject



















Core Cadastral Domain Model: Geometry

- Real estate object with specialisations, e.g. parcel, parcel-complex, volume property, restriction area, point parcel, apartment unit, based on topological structure or not
- Agregations like parcels set, parcel complex, apartment complex
- Link to surveying and survey documentation
- Link to OGC standards (Nodes, Edges and Faces)







Core Cadastral Domain Model: Legal-administrative

- RRR is an association class between Person and RealEstateObject
- Mortgage, restriction and RRR are based on legal documents or decisions
- Person are specialised as natural or non natural
- Surveyor, conveyor and money provider are included, specialisations of the Persons class
- A RRR can be temporal







FIG Cadastre 2014 (Kaufmann, Steudler)

Legal Topics:

Land Object Boundaries:

......... **Resource Exploitation** Collective Rights Water Protection Indigenous Rights **Environment Protection** Land Use Planning Land Property Shelter and Housing Natural Resources Natural Land Objects



Rightful Claimants: Chartered company Corporation Society Tribe, Clan Society Society **Private Land Owners** House owners Society Society





FIG Cadastre 2014 approach is integrated

- Cadastre 2014 is a generic, very good, abstract set of guidelines (compare to ISO standard, or OGC abstract specification)
- CCDM is refined into a more specific model using UML (compare OGC implementation specification)
- CCDM is based on OGC and ISO TC211 standards
- CCDM will enable interoperable implementations and provide foundation for database structure (DBMS DDL/SQL), information exchange format (XML/GML)







Boundary of the system – outside (in this moment):

Geology, geo-technical, soil
Pipelines and cables
Polluted area registers
Mining right registers
Cultural history
(Religious) monuments
Ship/airplane (car) registers

•...







Aspects not yet covered

- Processes: how to maintain consistency between two related distributed systems in case of updates: the cadastral production process depends on availability and quality of data at remote servers (e.g. Persons in population database)
- Catalogues with 'types of right' (per country?)
- Further modelling of cadastral survey
- Inclusion of a range of spatial units
- Generation of a full XML/GML schema
- Test with real data, in EULIS context
- Harmonise with other domain models, e.g. Topography, Water, Utility Networks







Agenda

- Introduction
- Cadastral Data
- Generic Cadastral Domain Model
- Conclusions







Conclusion

- Current proposal is under development, workshops, reviews, etc
- More attention to process side (in addition to data side)
- Not only the model itself is important, but the fact that there is consensus (also important role of industry)







Thank you

- <u>www.fig.net</u>
- <u>www.oicrf.org</u>
- <u>www.gdmc.nl</u>







Model independent of organisation, examples of actual registrations



Customer Groups: cadastral data exchange









History and dynamic aspects, data

- Two modeling approaches for discrete changes:
 - 1. Event based modeling: transactions as entity, start state is know, changes are known
 - 2. State based modeling: states are modeled explicitly, objects have 2 dates (begin/end)
- In our model hybrid approach: documents represent the transactions, but also all the states are kept
- tmin/tmax attributes inherited from root objects
- No explicit parent-child relationships (lineage), implicit







History and dynamic aspects, processes

- Other type of UML diagram used to model the processes (e.g. use case, sequence, collaboration, state or activity diagrams).
- Example of splitting a parcel described in a state diagram









3D example in NL, current 'solution'



3D Parcel of one building is subdivided into 3 parts with different admin tags



Registration of 3D object (tunnel)









Another 3D factual situation (NL)



3D apartement complex on 2D parcels











