



Visualizing Title Uncertainty and Quality Issues in Digital Land Administration Era

Trias Aditya

Geodetic Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, UGM

FIG Commission 7 Annual Meeting Deventer, 2-4 October 2023



Kementerian Agraria & Tata Ruang/ Badan Pertanahan Nasional



This work is also supported by FIG Aubrey Baker Grant



Content

- Cadastre & Quality Improvements
- Quality Issues & Title Uncertainty
- Visual Tools to Improve the Quality
- Uncertainty Maps







Racing Against Time



DASHBOARD POTENSI KOTA LENGKAP

PER TANGGAL 4 AGUSTUS 2023

10-1	diam'r	a constant		-	Bilet	Sec. 1	Uptood		Recate Kinetje	Renata Abselerasi
No	Nama Kanwi	Jurriah BT	KW 4,5,5	4.5.5 (%)	Elektronik (%)	Warkah [Ni]	8T (%)	84 (%)	Layanan Prioritas (%)	Layenen Prioritas
1	DKI.	1.773.247	35.745	1.70	92.35	42.43	94.00	32.44	92.50	67.55
2.	Dial .	1.935.980	96.808	5.37	84.38	12.64	90.24	83.57	98.01	88.7
3	Suber	712.001	261.133	35.24	29.82	2.93	37.03	17.74		43.8
4	Kathn	1.441.603	153.915	6,86	86.85	33.08	88.94	67.55	95.76	82.83
5	Malukai	434.087	76.338	14,2	57.D4	11.62	47.82	31.37	76.60	48.04
6	Kalleng	1.229.291	271.558	17.92	-64.1	21,24	78.32	57.62	78.4	40.26
7	Rahel	1.037.493	376.787	20.47	\$2.75	30.65	70.85	52.94	80.82	65.50
8	Kaber	2.420.631	515.348	20.76	49,41	30.7	85.30	52.36	82.08	56.71
9	Papua	519,005	147.677	22.65	52.64	3.07	42.38	31.91	62.45	20.25
10	Sultria	1,268,118	396.309	25.41	56.16	6.27	59.68	34.24	79.93	42.71
11	Sumei	2 504 589	790.077	28.88	45.45	26.11	62.8	46.66	84.81	55.96
12	Subel	2,717,213	807.122	29.03	58.43	4.83	52.61	39.47	54.63	509.5
13	NUT	1.536.000	494,518	25.95	56.70	15.89	79.17	68.7	86.00	64.65
14	Gororitain	430,250	75.837	17.23	68.26	13.92	63.43	35.59	65.11	27.15
15	INTE	1.748.661	295 491	17.79	49.56	4.22	37.7	21.42	94.28	77.17
15	Synhar	1.086 149	337 366	25.24	43.05	4.78	45.98	30.99	90.79	76.48
17	Lanoung	2.941.001	626,295	19.19	68.31	5.67	60.02	35.5	88.57	852
18	Acets	1.508.077	304,100	19.25	71.16	7.20	59.6	34.42	87.98	56.44
19	Herei	751.827	30.782	4.9	69.24	8.25	77.87	49.07	92.32	68.03
20	Rim	1 006 001	548.449	27.90	49.74	10.33	89.68	55.18	65.88	20.84
21	Suborst	1.101.201	295.275	21.03	43.91	3.94	47.00	30.11	73.72	42.54
22	Jambi	1.584 (91	373.312	21.24	63.22	27.01	TH 12	56.62	91.18	74.94
23	Sumul	2,436,579	553 634	21.62	05.5	6.11	57.0	35.60	77.14	43.21
24	Babai	498 779	30.987	6.17	87.54	7	74.29	40.54	61.79	56.50
26	Shirt .	463 081	34 349	7.58	87.96	5.45	62.77	37.78	79.34	48.0
36	- John -	18 188 709	1.108.394	# 54	61.31	20.49	62.0	46.27	39.6	59.96
22	Ferrit	996,902	259-057	22.26	62.56	3.83	100.50	33.16	73.66	39.15
34	Extern	3 418 471	347.217	-0.53	04.0	54.78	81.5	04.00	84.15	61.34
20	Lotien.	14.077.194	2 012 439	13.25	72.60	21.10	TG BS	49.47	- 80.13	61.74
-20-	Change Barat	208,748	80.049	13,70	53.00	2 13	40	30.61	60.13	17.75
31	Stat	204.065	230 714	-28.47	45.58	6.45	35.40	18.72	70.65	32.83
99	I Automat	15 123 045	2 0 14 004	18.94	60.70	12.42	74.86	47.41	95.22	80.35
55	10 M	2 303 355	413 003	44.33	63.44	14.07	871.00	30.00	93.27	60.22

Total: 15 Million of Unmapped Titles Source: ATR/BPN 2023

Legend

- Validated registered land parcels (C1)
 Validated registered land parcels (C2 & C3)
 Unconfirmed registered land parcels (C4)
- Unregistered land parcels
- Registered land with issues in land records

Looking for a needle in a haystack

Looking for correct places to map valid land titles

0



- Indonesia is using "mixed" cadastral systems in the transition to fully digital land services (e.g., mortgage, title check, first registration)
- deeds registration are used (intermediate output), but its strongest ownership proof are titles (ultimate output)
- Pre. Document Sources: Spatial Data Collection (Field Sketches) + Legal Validation (Notary Deed/Underlying documents), Party ID
- Post. Document Sources: Measurement Letters (ML), Land Book (LB), A copy of {ML + LB} is a title given to owner (certificate)
- During decades, some titles are published with no proper survey & measurements; lost in transition from analog to digital
- Quality Improvements = Mapping (Unmapped Titles; Party-Parcel Relationship of all registered LA Parcels)

Plotting unmapped titles

Wiyung

Kedurus

Kelurahan Kebraon :

Balasklumprik

BEFORE

Bersertipikat KW123 Awal

Karangpilang

Keterangan



922 plotted out of 1,077 unmapped titles

Kebraon

AFTER

Some examples in data consistency (even for mapped titles): Double certificates (different number of rights, number of ML for the exactly same location)





Data Source: Own analysis

LESSONS LEARNED FROM THE QUALITY IMPROVEMENT WORK WITH ATR/BPN



2020

Business Operation: The output is analog, the business operation is digital

No. Seri:	Pilih No Seri	NIB								
Propinsi:	Jawa Tengah		NIB	Luas M2	Desa/Kelurahan		PP	TT SS		
Kabupaten/Kota:	SURAKARTA	11020107.00660	823	PURWOSARI	0	1				
Kecamatan:	LAWEYAN		🗈 Surat ukur							
Desa/Kelurahan:	PURWOSARI		Nomor	Des	sa					
DI. 307:	775 / 1967 10/07/1967		00713/2008 PURWOSARI							
DI. 208:	130 / 1967 10/07/1967		👌 Pemilik pertama 🔗							
Nomor Hak:	11020107100189		NIK Nar	na		Tempat, Tg	l Lahir			
Asal Hak:	Konversi	▼	MAI	, 01/01/19	00					
Alas Hak:	v	•=>~	Penandatangan Pembukuan							
DI. 202:	Nomor / Tahun Tanggal		Tgl. Pembukuan:	10/07/196	57 🖪	2	1	The state		
Surat keputusan:			Jabatan:	📃 An. Kep	oala Kantor					
No. Permohonan:	Nomor / Tahun Tanggal		Nama:	R. SOEGO	NO	The	-+-	-16		

Issues hindering completeness & reliability

- ✓ Sporadic activities
- ✓ Incomplete digitalization
- ✓ Paper & electronic records synchronization



Single Source of Truth?





Jumlah Bidang Geokkp Bersertipikat Aktif

Ideal Situation/Validity for Land Administration:

Field situation = Legal Condition = Cadastral Map = Document Validity (FS | ML | Archive | LB) = Digital Data



Field situation # Legar condition # Cadastral Map # Document Validity (FS | ML | Archive | LB) # Digital Data

6M - Roots of Problems for Incompleteness & Uncertainty



Title Uncertainty

Letter of

The concept of title uncertainty as a composite of **location**, **attribute** and **temporal** accuracy from multidimensional data related to owner/parties, the association between owner and parcel (right-restrictions-responsibilities), and the parcel boundary by which the level of precision, completeness, and the representation consistency of reality can vary from one title to another title



update-ness

The ultimate goal = title certainty



Visualizing Title Uncertainty to accelerate the quality improvement works



Nº Landa de

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA

Departtment of Geodetic Engineering faculty of Engineering

PCP - Parallel Coordinate Plots

An Urban Case: A Sub District in Surabaya



282 cases of unmapped titles in Benowo Subdistrict, Surabaya (2022)

PCP - Parallel Coordinate Plots

A Rural Case: A Sub District in Bangli, Bali



1609 cases of unmapped titles in Banjarangkan Subdistrict, Bangli (2021)

Visual variables for Representing Title Uncertainty



Values Size ...more (fogginess, transparency,...)



Before and after Spatial Adjustments



Values

To represent point accuracy

Boundary Point Precision/Plot Errors Boundary Point as a Spatial Accuracy Indicator

Distance of displacement vector (meters) 0.19 - 1.18 55% 1.18 - 2.17 32% 2.17 - 3.16 4% 3.16 - 4.14 5% Percentage number of sample (75 samples) 4.14 - 5.13 3% 5.13 - 6.12 0% 6.12 - 7.11 1% Adjusted point to field coordinates Boundary parcel



Heatmaps

To represent point accuracy

Heatmap of difference values

1.2 meters

0 meters

Boundary parcel

Boundary Point Precision/Plot Errors Boundary Point as a Spatial Accuracy Indicator



Values

To represent area accuracy

Legend

- Accepted for a half of square root area tolerance
- Accepted for under 5% of area tolerance
- Accepted for under 10% of area tolerance
- Not accepted (over 10% area tolerance)
- Uncertificate parcel

Level of acceptance to textual vs spatial area differences in Petojo Utara



Uncertainty Represented with Use of Multi Visual Variables



Reliability of boundary markers (Parties that locate the boundary, Agreement between the adjoining landowners, location of tie point, boundary markers, determination office)



Uncertificate parcel

Certificate parcel



Uncertainty Represented with Use of Multi Visual Variables

Completeness of parcel information ' (BT, SU, GU, similarity area values) Good (0,8 - 1) Middle (0,5 - 0,79) Bad (0 - 0,49)

Uncertificate parcel

Reliability of boundary markers (Parties that locate the boundary, Agreement between the adjoining landowners, location of tie point, boundary markers, determination office)

Good (0,8 - 1) Middle (0,5 - 0,79) Bad (0 - 0,49)

Title Certainty Before Digital Land Services

Main Challenges

- ✓ Plotting unmapped titles
- ✓ Improving title certainty of certified land parcels
- ✓ Data integrity enforcements (single identity management, data immutability, private/public data access)

Further Work

- ✓ Exploring effective & efficient visual variables for accelerating quality improvements
- $\checkmark\,$ PCP is effective to provide visual summary about the roots of problems and solutions
- The visualization strategy will be used for creating the map resulting from QGIS plugin for improving spatial cadastre
- Use of values and transparency can provide a summary for visualizing Title uncertainty (party, RRR, spatial). What can be more?

Accuracy is the twin brother of honesty; inaccuracy, of dishonesty. -Nathaniel Hawthorne





This work is also supported by FIG Aubrey Baker Grant



Spatial Cadastre - Quality Steps



Level 0 : Island

Sporadic parcel mapping, heterogeneity in references, methods, survey measurements and coverage → islands

Decades of Practices

Level 1 : Rubber Sheeting

Visual no gaps no overlaps with no reference to document sources

Unmapped Titles Quality Improvements

Level 2 : Spatial Adjustment

Document sources as the reference to improve quality



Level 3 : Block Adjustment

Field survey = document sources = cadastral map



PTSL





➔ Plotting K4

(PTSL)



K4 telah *landing*

LEVEL 0: ISLAND

Kondisi:

- Masih terdapat K4 belum terpetakan -
- Program pendaftaran tanah K1 belum tuntas

K1 telah terukur dan terpetakan Bidang tanah terdaftar telah ditata (no gaps no overlaps)

| -1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 2 - 2

Peningkatan Kualitas

Penataan peta
Assessment dokumen

Keterangan gambar: Bidang Tanah Terdaftar

Bidang Hasil PTSL

K4 Terpetakan

LEVEL 1: *Rubber Sheeted* Kondisi:

- Bidang tanah terdaftar telah terpetakan
- Objek geografis & sisa bidang kosong belum terpetakan
- Konsistensi logis spasial dan tekstual ?
 Peta = dokumen = KKP ?



LEVEL 2: Spatial Adjusted Kondisi:

naisi: Comus hidona t

- Semua bidang tanah telah terdeliniasi
- Konsistensi logis spasial dan tekstual peta = dokumen = KKP





LEVEL 2: Spatial Adjusted

Kondisi:

- Memiliki konsistensi logis spasial dan tekstual Peta = dokumen = KKP
- Koordinat peta tidak sama dengan lapangan Peta ≠ lapangan

Peningkatan Kualitas

- ➔ Pengukuran titik kontrol lapangan
- → Block Adjustment
- → Uji stastik dan akurasi

Keterangan gambar:

Titik Kontrol Lapangan



LEVEL 3: Block Adjusted Kondisi:

- No gaps No Overlaps
- Peta = dokumen = KKP = lapangan (selisih minimal)
- Teruji terhadap koordinat lapangan