DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION FOR RESPONSIBLE LAND ADMINISTRATION

FIG Commission 7 & 2 Annual Meeting 2023 2-4 October 2023, Deventer

Toward Large Scale Cadastral Mapping with Deep Learning

Jeroen Grift, Claudio Persello, Mila Koeva





Silver sponsor:







AGENDA

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Literature review + research gap
- 3. Results
- 4. What's next

Questions



Gold sponsor:

Silver sponsor:







1. INTRODUCTION



Gold sponsor:

Silver sponsor:







1.1 Problem Introduction

- Approx. 70-75 percent of the people in the world do not have access to a legal land administration system
- Negative impact on:
 - Tenure security
 - Agricultural productivity
 - Sustainable development
- Related to several targets of the sustainable development goals (SDGs) of 2030.



https://www.unsustainabledevelopmentgoals.org/podcast/sdg-target-14





Silver sponsor:







1.2 Problem Introduction

- The lack of a formal system is partially caused by technological issues
- Conventional ground-based survey methods have helped developed countries build up countrywide cadastre with high accuracies
- This approach is too labor-intensive and expensive for most developing countries and could take several decades to complete





Gold sponsor:

Silver sponsor:







1.3 Possible solution

- The fit-for-purpose land administration (FFPLA) tries to solve this problem [1]
- Two of the main principles of FFPLA:
 - Visible cadastral boundaries
 - Acquiring these cadastral boundaries with satellite images and aerial photography
- Growing demand for automated cadastral boundary extraction methods
- Recent studies on cadastral boundary extraction primarily use deep learning and remote sensing imagery and show promising results



[1] FIG, & The World Bank. (2014). *Fit-For-Purpose Land Administration*.



Gold sponsor:

Silver sponsor:







2. LITERATURE REVIEW



Gold sponsor:

Silver sponsor:







2.1 Automated (visible) cadastral boundary delineation

- Crommelinck et al. 2019 [1]
- Xia et al. 2019 [2]
- Fetai et al. 2021 [3]
- Results:
 - 38% less processing time
 - 80% less clicks
 - Invisible boundaries are hard to detect



[1] Crommelinck, S., Koeva, M., Yang, M. Y., & Vosselman, G. (2019). Application of Deep Learning for Delineation of Visible Cadastral Boundaries from Remote Sensing Imagery. *Remote Sensing*, 11(21)

[2] Xia, X., Persello, C., & Koeva, M. (2019). Deep fully convolutional networks for cadastral boundary detection from UAV images. Remote Sensing, 11(14).

[3] Fetzi, B., Račič, M., & Lisec, A. (2021). Deep Learning for Detection of Visible Land Boundaries from UAV Imagery. Remote Sensing, 13(11)



Gold sponsor:

Silver sponsor:







2.2 Research gap

- Only a small number of deep learning architectures have been investigated for cadastral boundary extraction
- Current applications of cadastral boundary extraction by using deep learning and remote sensing are mainly providing raster output
- There is **no cadastral benchmark dataset** available for cadastral boundary extraction
- Relatively small datasets have been used in cadastral boundary extraction studies. Because of these relatively area-specific datasets, it is not clear how the trained algorithms **perform on new** geographic locations
- Delineated cadastral boundaries were not used to improve the predictions made by the deep learning model





Gold sponsor:







3. RESULTS: BENCHMARK DATASET



Gold sponsor:

Silver sponsor:





3.1 Benchmark dataset

Data collected from the Netherlands:

- Aerial imagery (25cm)
- SuperView (50cm)
- PlanetScope (+/- 3m)
- Sentinel-2 (10m)
- Cadastral reference data:
 - Basisregistratie Kadaster (BRK)
 - Buffered 0.5m
- Processing:
 - Grid of 10x10km tiles
 - Tiles sampled per cultural landscape (boundary diversity)
 - 90 tiles in total
 - Clipped images and reference binary masks for all data sources





Silver sponsor:





Legend











4. RESULTS: VISIBLE/NON-VISIBLE CADASTRAL BOUNDARIES



Gold sponsor:

Silver sponsor:







4.1 Overlap analysis

- To interpret the results of deep learning predictions, it is important to know the proportion of visible/non-visible cadastral boundaries
- Calculate overlap between:
 - Basisregistratie Kadaster (BRK)
 - Visible objects in topographic maps (BGT, BRT, BAG, BRP)
- GIS analysis:
 - Buffer distance
 - Percentage overlap
 - Angle difference
- Results:
 - 72.2% of total length of the cadastral boundaries is matched
 - Visible/non-visible cadastral boundary geometries (image)



Bronze sponsors:



Organized by



Gold sponsor:

Silver sponsor:







5. WHAT'S NEXT



Gold sponsor:

asr

Silver sponsor:







5.1 Future developments

- Train state-of-the-art models on the cadastral benchmark dataset
- Test the transferability of models trained with the benchmark dataset to other geographical locations.
- Add other imagery data sources to the benchmark datasets (sensors, seasons) to make it more diverse
- Add reference data from other countries to the benchmark dataset, to make it more diverse



Gold sponsor:

Silver sponsor:







Questions



Gold sponsor:

asr

Silver sponsor:



