

Metric for Assessing The Potential Effects of Echo Sounders on Marine Mammals

Ching Yen Sim Kelvin Tang Kang Wee

Universiti Teknologi Malaysia Faculty of Built Environment and Surveying 81310 Johor Bahru MALAYSIA



Brisbane.



PLATINUM SPONSORS



CHCN







a 6-10 April

Australian Government





Sound Source



Brisbane, Australia 6-10 April

1.0 Introduction

POTENTIAL EFFECT

AUDITORY OF MARINE MAMMALS/CETACEANS

1. BEHAVIOURAL CHANGES Disturbance/response

2. Masking

Avoidance, changing of direction and pattern

3. TTS

Temporary Threshold Shift (Temporary lost of hearing ability)

4. PTS Permanent Threshold Shift (Permanent lost of hearing ability

5. Traumatic Injury Decompression sickness and mortality

Source: (Kates Varghese, Miksis-Olds et al. 2020)z Schematic diagram showing zones of impact around a high energy underwater sound source (at centre) and listing the potential effects upon a receiving animal, assuming spherical spreading.

5















Brisbane, Australia 6-10 April

Aim & Objective

WORKING

WEEK 2025

The purpose of this paper is to understand how echo sounder sonar emissions can impact marine mammals.

AND Locate25

This is essential for sound management guidelines in hydrographic surveying especially at areas designated as Important Marine Mammal Areas (IMMA)



PLATINUM SPONSORS



 \mathbf{n}





Meter







Brisbane, Australia 6-10 April

Important Marine Mammal Areas (IMMMA) and Marine Parks of Malaysia

Satun-Langkawi (Kedah) 5,493 km2 (13,57,349 acres)

Indo-Pacific finless porpoise -Neophocaena phocaenoides Indo-Pacific humpback dolphin-Sousa chinensis Irrawaddy dolphin-Orcaella brevirostris Dugong dugon, Stenella longirostris, Tursiops aduncus, Balaenoptera edeni

Matang (Perak) 2,386 km2 (589,593 acres)

Irrawaddy dolphin – Orcaella brevirostris Indo-Pacific humpback dolphin – Sousa chinensis Indo-Pacific finless porpoise – Neophocaena phocaenoides Tursiops aduncus, Stenella longirostris



Mersing (Johor), 1,244km² (307,399 acres)

Dugong dugon, eophocaena phocaenoides, Orcaella brevirostris, Sousa chinensis, Tursiops aduncus, Delphinus delphis tropicalis



PLATINUM SPONSORS



Australian Government







Kuala Nyalau (Sarawak) 1,235 km2 (305,175 acres)

Arrawaddy dolphin – Orcaella brevirostris Finless porpoise – Neophocaena phocaenoides Indo-Pacific Humpback dolphin – Sousa chinensis

> Pending Assesmen

Kuchi

Kuching Bay (Sarawak) 475 km2 (117,375 acres)

Irrawaddy dolphin – Orcaella brevirostris Finless porpoise – Neophocaena phocaenoides Humpback dolphin – Sousa chinensis Neophocaena phocaenoides, Orcaella brevirostri Sousa chinensis, Tursiops aduncus







Brisbane, Australia 6-10 April



An Indo-Pacific finless porpoise in the Similajau-Kuala Nyalau IMMA. Photo: Sarawak Dolphin Project





Indo-Pacific finless porpoises (top) and an Indo-Pacific humpback dolphin (middle) in the coastal waters of the Langkawi Archipelago, and an Irrawaddy dolphin (bottom) in the coastal waters of Perlis, Malaysia.

Photo: MareCet Research Organization



Indo-Pacific humpback dolphins (top) and Irrawaddy dolphins (bottom) in the coastal waters of Matang, Perak, Malaysia

Photo: MareCet Research Organization A dugong herd, including the presence of mother-calf pairs, sighted during aerial surveys around Sibu Island, Johor, Malaysia.

Photo: The MareCet Research Organization





An Irrawaddy Dolphin is seen surfacing close to a fishing boat in the Kuching Bay IMMA. Dolphins sometimes occur in close proximity to fishing boats that are hauling their catches in, to feed on fishes discarded by the fisherfolk.

Photo: Sarawak Dolphin Project

ORGANISED BY FIG Geospatial Council of Australia

PLATINUM SPONSORS





Leica Geosystems









Brisbane, Australia 6-10 April

FI



Figure 1: Spatial distribution of group size and behaviour of (a) Indo-Pacific humpback dolphin, (b) Irrawaddy dolphin, and (c) Indo-Pacific finless porpoise sightings in Matang. The size of the circle indicates the group size, and the colour of the circle indicates the predominant behaviour of the group. (Extracted from Kuit et al., 2019 & MMPATF 2020) Systematic research from 2013 – 2016 in the area within the IMMA measuring 1152 km2 and effort amounting 110 survey days across 11 surveys has found that there were **763 Irrawaddy dolphins**

















Brisbane, Australia 6-10 April

2.0 Metric Assessment

	Functional Hearing Group	Estimated Auditory Bandwidth	General Represented (Number Species/Subspecies)	
	Low-frequency cetaceans	7 Hz to 22 kHz	Balaena, Caperea, Eschrichtius, Megaptera, Balaenoptera (13 species/subspecies) Dugong dugon	
FUNCTIONAL HEARING	Mid-frequency cetaceans	150 Hz to 160 kHz	Steno, Sousa, Sotalia, Tursiops, Stenella, Delphinus, Lagenodelphis, Lagenorhynchus, Lissodelphis, Grampus, Peponocephala, Feresa, Pseudorca, Orcinus, Globicephala, Orcacella, Physeter, Delphinapterus, Monodon, Ziphius, Berardius, Tasmacetus, Hyperoodon, Mesoplodon (57 species/subspecies)	
GROUP	High-frequency cetaceans	200 Hz to 180 kHz	Phocoena, Neophocaena, Phocoenoides, Platanista, Inia, Kogia, Lipotes, Pontoporia, Cephalorhynchus (19 species/subspecies)	
	Pinnipeds in water	75 Hz to 75 kHz	Arctocephalus, Callorhinus, Zalophus, Eumetopias, Neophoca, Phocarctos,Otaria, Erignathus, Phoca, Pusa, Halichoerus, Histriophoca, Pagophilus, Cystophora, Monachus, Mirounga, Leptonychotes, Ommatophoca, Lobodon, Hydrurga, Odobenus(41 species/subspecies)	
	Pinnipeds in air	75 Hz to 30 kHz	Same species as pinnipeds in water (41 species/subspecies)	
	Source: Southall et al 2007			



















Brisbane, Australia 6-10 April

2.1 Frequency Range Overlapping



Figure 1. Frequency Range of Sounds Generally Produced by Different Marine Animal Groups Shown Relative to Major Human Noise Sources



PLATINUM SPONSORS





Leica



Meter



Australian Government







ook as

Brisbane, Australia 6-10 April

FI

D:\Downloads\Sounds of the sea\LFA and Whale .mp3 MP3 (MPEG audio layer 3), 24 kbps, 8000 Hz, 2 Kanâle



UNDERWATER SOUND OF SONAR AND MARINE ANIMALS



PLATINUM SPONSORS

Australian Government



Leica Geosystems



Meter

0V0



Brisbane, Australia 6-10 April

Geospatial Council of Australia

2.2 Threshold of Sound Level and Exposure

AND Locate25

		Cetaceans		Pinnipeds	Pinnipeds
	Low frequency	Mid-frequency High frequency		in Water	in air
	7 Hz-22 kHz	150 Hz-160 kHz	200 Hz-180 kHz	75 Hz-75 kHz	75 Hz-30 kHz
Criteria for					
Permanent Injury (Estimated Values	Baleen whales	Most toothed whales, dolphins	Certain toothed whales, porpoises	All species	All species
for PTS-ONSET	Single Pulse: 230 dB SPL 198 dB SEL	<u>Single Pulse:</u> 230 dB SPL 198 dB SEL	<u>Single Pulse:</u> 230 dB SPL 198 dB SEL	<u>Single Pulse:</u> 218 dB SPL 186 dB SEL	<u>Single Pulse:</u> 149 dB SPL 144 dB SEL
	Multiple Pulse:	Multiple Pulse:	Multiple Pulse:	Multiple Pulse:	Multiple Pulse:
	230 dB SPL 198 dB SEL	230 dB SPL 198 dB SEL	230 dB SPL 198 dB SEL	218 dB SPL 186 dB SEL	149 dB SPL 144 dB SEL
	<u>Non-pulses:</u> 230 dB SPL 215 dB SEL	<u>Non-pulses:</u> 230 dB SPL 215 dB SEL	<u>Non-pulses:</u> 230 dB SPL 215 dB SEL	<u>Non-pulses:</u> 218 dB SPL 203 dB SEL	<u>Non-pulses:</u> 149 dB SPL 144 dB SEL

Units of measurement:

Sound Pressure Level, SPL (in water): measured in dB re: 1 µPa (peak) Sound Exposure Level, SEL (in water): measured in dB re: 1 µPa2-s



F١

Evidence provided in 2000 at Bahamas when a sonar trial using frequencies between 3-8 kHz and source levels of 223-235 dB led to the stranding of 17 whales (Balcomb and Claridge 2001)



WORKING

WEEK 2025

PLATINUM SPONSORS



Source: Southall et al 2007





Meter





2.2 Threshold of Sound Level and Exposure

AND Locate25

Criteria and values for TTS-onset (single pulses only) and Disturbance/Behavioural Response (multiple pulses and non-pulses)

WORKING

WEEK 2025

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Cetaceans		Pinnipeds	Pinnipeds
Low frequency	Mid-frequency	High frequency	in Water	in Air
7 Hz-22 kHz	150 Hz-160 kHz	200 Hz-180 kHz	75 Hz-75 kHz	75 Hz-30 kHz
Baleen whales	Most toothed whales, dolphins	Certain toothed whales, porpoises	All species	All species
<u>Single Pulse:</u> 224 dB SPL 183 dB SEL	<u>Single Pulse:</u> 224 dB SPL 183 dB SEL	<u>Single Pulse:</u> 224 dB SPL 183 dB SEL	<u>Single Pulse:</u> 212 dB SPL 171 dB SEL	<u>Single Pulse:</u> 109 dB SPL 100 dB SEL
<u>Multiple Pulse:</u> 120-180 dB SPL Not applicable	<u>Multiple Pulse:</u> 120-180 dB SPL Not applicable	<u>Multiple Pulse:</u> Data unavailable Not applicable	<u>Multiple Pulse:</u> 150-200 dB SPL Not applicable	<u>Multiple Pulse:</u> 150-200 dB SPL Not applicable
<u>Non-pulses:</u> 120-160 dB SPL Not applicable	<u>Non-pulses:</u> 90-200 dB SPL Not applicable	<u>Non-pulses:</u> 90-170 dB SPL Not applicable	<u>Non-pulses:</u> 100+ dB SPL Not applicable	<u>Non-pulses:</u> 100+ dB SPL Not applicable

Units of measurement:

Sound Pressure Level, SPL (in water): measured in dB re: 1 μ Pa (peak) (flat) Sound Exposure Level, SEL (in water): measured in dB re: 1 μ Pa2-s



PLATINUM SPONSORS





Source: Southall et al 2007











Brisbane, Australia 6-10 April

FI





RESEARCH AND EVIDENCE

- In 2008, The Independent Scientific Review Panel (ISRP) determined one of the primary factors behind the mass stranding of 100 melon-headed whales (Peponocephala: 150hz -160kHz) in Madagascar's Loza Lagoon was the result of acoustic signals from a multi-beam echo sounder (12 kHz) used by a survey vessel (Southall 2013). MBES Model: (SIMRAD EM1002, mounted to hull) specified with a sound pressure level of 235 dB re: 1µPa and peak frequency of 12 kHz.
- This findings supported by a study by U.S eastern seaboard on beaked whales that changed their behavior upon detecting sounds from a multi-frequency single beam echo sounder (18-200kHz) (ISC 2023)
- A study in 2020 by University of New Hampshire using MBES 12 kHz on Cuvier's beaked whales (Ziphius: 150hz 160kHz) found no alterations in foraging behavior (Kates Varghese, Miksis-Olds et al. 2020

Given the ongoing debate, it is advisable to take precautions when addressing this issue



PLATINUM SPONSORS







Surveyors Australia

107414000



Brisbane, Australia 6-10 April

2.2 Threshold of Sound Level and Exposure

AND Locate25

RULE OF THUMB

Taking MBES Kongsberg EM 712 (40-100kHz) used by KD Perantau (225-237dB at peak) as an example.

attenuation about 10dB/km assuming and considering of Southall's sound exposure limit "183dB SEL", / sound level "224 dB SPL" that change the behaviour.

Theoretical safe distance for marine mammals directly from the source can be calculated as:

> (237dB-183dB) / 10dB = 5.4 km.(237dB-224dB) / 10dB = 1.3 km.

Internationally, the distance of 1km (sea surface) was adopted by Government of Ireland as code of practice (Department of Environment 2007).



The variation in attenuation coefficient as one moves over the bandwidth of common deep sea and shelf sonars.

Note that for the EM710 (70-100 kHz) attenuation changes by 10 dB/km. For the EM302 (26-34 kHz) the attenuation changes by 3dB/km and for the EM122 (11- 13 kHz) the change is only 0.4 dB/km.

For the higher frequency system, the number of sectors is not increased in deep water, as the benefits of improved attenuation outweighs the benefits of more sectors. For the lower frequency systems, more sectors are added as the pusle bandwidths reduce.

Source: Class Note "Motion Compensation"



WORKING

WEEK 2025

PLATINUM SPONSORS







Leica









Brisbane, Australia 6-10 April



BEST PRACTISE OF SOUND MANAGEMENT IN HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEYING OPERATION

- 1) Hydrographic surveyors working at IMMA areas need to be aware of the presence of various cetaceans, such as dolphins and porpoises classified as (150Hz-160kHz) and (200Hz-180kHz) cetaceans, as well as dugongs, which are low frequency cetaceans (1kHz to 18kHz) (Anderson and Robert 1995). Hydrographic surveyors must carefully select appropriate operation frequency when operating within these regions. Surveyor can use appropriate echo sounder model which outside the cetacean's frequency such as Kongsberg EM 2040 (200-400kHz).
- 2) Echo sounders, such as the Kongsberg EM 712 on National Hydrography Centre Survey Vessel (KD Perantau), emit their strongest sonar signals within a meter beneath the transducer (approximately 225-237 dB at their peak). The sound becomes less intense as moves away from the source, making it safer for marine mammals which are 1km (sea surface) away from the source (Department of Environment 2007).
- 3) Before survey commence, it is advised to use a soft start pinging mode and reducing the sound level by 10 or 20 dB during 140° coverage (Kongsberg 2019).
- 4) Survey **line shall start from the coast and move towards deeper waters** and not the opposite to prevent marine mammals from being disturbed and swimming toward the shore (Department of Environment 2007)











hib@bh.com.mv





Brisbane, Australia 6-10 April



AND Locate25

Bangkai dugong terdampar di Pulau Tinggi Nurul Amanina Suhaini - April 21, 2017 @ 10:53am



"Echo sounder sonar emissions may not potentially harm marine mammals if proper survey practices are followed as suggested in this brief note. This practice can set a new professional guideline for hydrographic surveying especially during the campaign of charting Malaysia's waters using MBES. This ultimately reduce harm to marine mammals which are the remarkable marine life in our country"



BANGKAI dugong yang ditemui terdampar di pantai Kampung Tanjung Balang, Pulau Tinggi, Johor, petang semalam. - Foto Ihsan Jabatan Taman Laut Malaysia Johor

















Geospatial Council of Australia FIG

Brisbane, Australia 6-10 April



Geospatial Council of Australia ORGANISED BY FIIG C

PLATINUM SPONSORS

THE NATIONAL GEOSPATIAL CONFERENCE

Australian Government

CHCNAV

Leica Geosystem THE SCIENCE OF WHERE

