

Panarchy and Planning: Aligning South Africa's Spatial Governance with the Cyclical Nature of Urban Development in South Africa

Clinton Heimann and Verna Nel (South Africa)

Key words: Implementation of plans; Land management; Legislation; Spatial planning; Developmental time; adaptive governance; panarchy; spatial performance; NSDO; NSDF; institutional elasticity; South Africa

SUMMARY

Cities evolve in cycles. Expansion, consolidation, breakdown, and renewal repeat over long horizons. Panarchy theory interprets these rhythms as a sequence of phases—growth (r), conservation (K), collapse (Ω), and renewal (α)—while resilience thinking explains how systems reorganise without losing identity. South Africa's planning system, by contrast, moves on administrative cycles tied to five-year integrated development plans, fiscal calendars, and electoral terms. The result is a persistent misalignment between administrative time and developmental time.

This paper advances a national planning approach that governs to developmental time while remaining accountable to administrative cycles. The contribution has three parts. First, a phase-specific planning brief translates panarchy into institutional action: in r , expand infrastructure and land management to match mobility and settlement growth; in K , review regulatory and fiscal frameworks to avoid rigidity; in Ω , protect essential services through focused emergency windows and social buffers; in α , test pilots and partnerships with explicit learning goals. Second, a feedback architecture anchored in the National Spatial Data Observatory (NSDO) provides monthly dashboards that join land-use change, climate anomalies, and expenditure exposure, enabling timely adjustments to spatial plans and programme pipelines. Third, a compact institutional elasticity scorecard measures adaptability across cycles through four indicators: IDP Amendment Lag, Fiscal Elasticity Ratio, Service Continuity Resilience Score, and Spatial Reinvestment Rate derived from NSDO spatial-change data.

Operational steps are pragmatic: embed phase diagnostics in IDP/SDF reviews; apply screening rules that reference the National Spatial Development Framework; schedule quarterly adaptive-learning sessions across departments and metros; publish an annual learning audit that closes the loop between evidence and policy. The result is a governance model that moves with

Panarchy and Planning: Aligning South Africa's Spatial Governance with the Cyclical Nature of Urban Development in South Africa (14050)

Clinton Heimann and Verna Nel (South Africa)

FIG Congress 2026

The Future We Want - The SDGs and Beyond

Cape Town, South Africa, 24–29 May 2026

urban cycles rather than against them. It provides a disciplined way to translate theory into routine practice, align planning and budgeting with real-world dynamics, and track outcomes through reproducible indicators.

Panarchy and Planning: Aligning South Africa's Spatial Governance with the Cyclical Nature of Urban Development in South Africa (14050)
Clinton Heimann and Verna Nel (South Africa)

FIG Congress 2026
The Future We Want - The SDGs and Beyond
Cape Town, South Africa, 24–29 May 2026